

2024 CFGV Community Grants Scoring Criteria

1. Clarity about the population served, the need, and why it matters: 10 points

10-9	Provides full clarity about all three components in detail
8-7	Mostly provides clarity about all three components, but leaves some questions unanswered
6-5	Provides partial clarity about at least two of the components, but gaps are noticeable
4-3	Provides little clarity about one or more of the components, fails to address key issues
2-1	Does not provide clarity about any components

2. Clarity about the applicant's activities: 10 points

a. *General Operating Support: clarity of their mission, what the applicant does, whether it has been an effective organization to date in responding to the needs they identified*

10-9	Provides full clarity about all three components in detail
8-7	Mostly provides clarity about all three components, but leaves some questions unanswered
6-5	Provides partial clarity about at least two of the components, but gaps are noticeable
4-3	Provides little clarity about one or more of the components, fails to address key issues
2-1	Does not provide clarity about any components

b. *Project Support: clarity of their purpose statement, proposed project idea, and whether the project responds to the needs they identified*

10-9	Provides full clarity about all three components in detail
8-7	Mostly provides clarity about all three components, but leaves some questions unanswered
6-5	Provides partial clarity about at least two of the components, but gaps are noticeable
4-3	Provides little clarity about one or more of the components, fails to address key issues
2-1	Does not provide clarity about any components

3. Viability in the coming year: 10 points

a. *General Operating Support: whether they appear to be a stable and responsible organization that is able to respond to adversity and overcome challenges*

10-9	Clearly displays stability as organization and effective responsiveness to adversity
8-7	Mostly displays stability as organization and the capability to respond to adversity
6-5	Partially displays stability as organization, but may not be well-equipped to respond to adversity
4-3	Does little to display stability as organization, and struggles to respond to adversity
2-1	Does not display stability as organization, and cannot respond to adversity

b. *Project Support: whether the project appears to be one that can and will be managed effectively, and whether it will have the impact that they seek to have*

10-9	Clearly displays how the project will be managed effectively, and suggests a high level of impact
8-7	Mostly displays how the project will be managed effectively, and suggests a moderate level of impact
6-5	Partially displays how the project will be managed, but is unclear as to what impact it may have
4-3	Does little to display how the project will be managed, and suggests the impact may be minimal
2-1	Does not display how the project will be managed or whether it will have any impact at all

4. Commitment to promoting OVRG Civic Capacity goal(s) related to strengthening the Gunnison Valley as a whole: 5 points

5-4	Clearly displays which OVRG goal(s) they are addressing and fully describes how they are promoting civic capacity in their work
3-2	Mostly displays which OVRG goal(s) they are addressing and briefly describes how they are promoting civic capacity in their work
1	Fails to display which OVRG goal(s) they are addressing, and does not identify how they are promoting civic capacity in their work