

2022 CFGV Community Grants

Scoring Criteria

1. Clarity about the population served, the need, and why it matters: 10 points

10-9	Provides full clarity about all three components
8-7	Mostly provides clarity about all three components, but leaves some questions unanswered
6-5	Provides partial clarity about at least two of the components, but gaps are noticeable
4-3	Provides little clarity about one or more of the components, fails to address key issues
2-1	Does not provide clarity about any components

2. Clarity about the applicant's activities: 10 points

- a. *General Operating Support: clarity of their mission, what the applicant does, whether it has been an effective organization to date in responding to the needs they identified*

10-9	Provides full clarity about all three components
8-7	Mostly provides clarity about all three components, but leaves some questions unanswered
6-5	Provides partial clarity about at least two of the components, but gaps are noticeable
4-3	Provides little clarity about one or more of the components, fails to address key issues
2-1	Does not provide clarity about any components

- b. *Project Support: clarity of their purpose statement, proposed project idea, and whether the project responds to the needs they identified*

10-9	Provides full clarity about all three components
8-7	Mostly provides clarity about all three components, but leaves some questions unanswered
6-5	Provides partial clarity about at least two of the components, but gaps are noticeable
4-3	Provides little clarity about one or more of the components, fails to address key issues
2-1	Does not provide clarity about any components

3. Viability in the coming year: 10 points

- a. *General Operating Support: whether they appear to be a stable and responsible organization, and whether they are responding realistically, constructively and creatively to the impact of the pandemic*

10-9	Clearly displays stability as organization and effective responsiveness to adversity
------	--

8-7	Mostly displays stability as organization and the capability to respond to adversity
6-5	Partially displays stability as organization, but may not be well-equipped to respond to adversity
4-3	Does little to display stability as organization, and struggles to respond to adversity
2-1	Does not display stability as organization, and cannot respond to adversity

b. Project Support: whether the project appears to be one that can and will be managed effectively, and whether it will have the impact that they seek to have

10-9	Clearly displays how the project will be managed effectively, and suggests a high level of impact
8-7	Mostly displays how the project will be managed effectively, and suggests a moderate level of impact
6-5	Partially displays how the project will be managed, but is unclear as to what impact it may have
4-3	Does little to display how the project will be managed, and suggests the impact may be minimal
2-1	Does not display how the project will be managed or whether it will have any impact at all

4. Collaboration in ecosystem to address an OVPP issue: 5 points

5-4	Clearly displays which OVPP issue they are addressing, who in their ecosystem they are collaborating with, and how they are working together
3-2	Mostly displays which OVPP issue they are addressing, and identifies other participants in their ecosystem
1	Fails to display which OVPP issue they are addressing, and does not identify other participants in their ecosystem